

# FEDERAL GRANTS MANAGEMENT MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION PO BOX 480, JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102

#### CONSOLIDATED FEDERAL PROGRAMS SELF-MONITORING CHECKLIST

#### 2003-2004 School Year

School District	County-District Code
Federal Programs Coordinator	Date of MSIP review
Districts scheduled for an MSIP review during the 2003-2 to: Federal Grants Management, PO I IT MUST BE POSTMARKED	Box 480, Jefferson City, MO 65102.

#### **Directions**

- 1. Respond to each of the following monitoring requirements by placing an appropriate code (see below) on the line to the left of the item. Responses are required on each lettered item, and documentation proving compliance must be kept on file at the district.
- 2. Place a checkmark in all appropriate boxes under Evidence Sources to indicate the type of supporting documentation you have available. The documentation of evidence sources must be on file at the district for possible review during MSIP. Do not send copies of evidence sources to Federal Grants Management.
- 3. If you have any questions, call your Grants Management Supervisor for assistance.
- 4. For Sample forms, see Federal Programs home page at: www.dese.state.mo.us/divimprove/fedprog/

#### Code Key

- **DC** = District Compliant: A review indicates compliance. When using this code, the district must have the documentation readily available for review by Federal Grants Management, if requested.
  - **DR** = District Resolving: A review indicates a compliance discrepancy. When using this code, use the comment section to explain how the district intends to resolve the discrepancy and the intended time frame for completion.
  - **DA** = District Assistance: The district requests assistance. A supervisor from Federal Grants Management will contact the district to arrange for assistance.
  - NA = Not Applicable to this district.

#### **Assurances**

The authorized representative assures the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education that the district shall:

- 1. Receive and expend federal funds in a manner consistent with the intent of the approved application.
- 2. Keep such records for a period of three years and provide such information as may be necessary for the fiscal program auditing and for program evaluation; provide the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education any information it may need to carry out its responsibilities under the programs.
- 3. Adhere to the requirements of the applicable federal statutes and regulations, the state rules governing the programs, and all other applicable statutes, including: Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Title IX of the Education Amendment of 1972; Certifications Regarding Lobbying, Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Gun-Free Schools Certification.

The board-authorized representative understands the assurances and the responsibility for compliance placed upon the applicant. The applicant will refund directly to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education the amount of any funds made available to the applicant that may be determined by the Department, or an Auditor representing the Department, to have been misspent or otherwise misapplied.

KEY:	
DC = District Compliant	DA = District Assistance
DR = District Resolving	NA = Not Applicable to this district
Authorized Representative	Date

MO 500-2336 (rev 07/03)

KEY:

DC = District Compliant DR = District Resolving

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

## General Provisions

1.	Complaint Procedures for Federal Programs (see DESE's sample on the web):
	a The district routinely disseminates to parents a copy of its complaint procedures for federal programs.
	Evidence Sources:
	☐ Newspaper
	☐ Student Handbooks
	☐ Newsletters
2.	Nonpublic Participation (see Administrative Manual, pp. 15 and 45-46):
	a The district conducts timely consultation with nonpublic school officials in the project planning stage for Titles I,
	II. A, III, and IV. A.
	Evidence Source:
	☐ Completed Public/Private Design for Educational Service. Required signatures are dated prior to substantial
	approval of the federal programs application.
	b The district expends or protects sufficient project funds for equitable services to eligible nonpublic schools.
	Evidence Sources:
	☐ Title I Breakdown of Allocation
	☐ Budgets for Titles I, II. A, III, IV. A, reflect appropriate amounts for proposed nonpublic expenditures.
3.	Obligation of Funds (see Administrative Manual, p. 10):
	a The district provides documentation that funds are obligated (purchase orders made or services contracted)
	only between the time of project approval and the end of the grant period.
	b Application Approval Date for 2003-2004 School Year (see Internet Application)
	Title I First Obligation Date
	Title I. C Migrant Ed. First Obligation Date
	Title II. A First Obligation Date
	Title II. D First Obligation Date
	Title III First Obligation Date Title IV. A First Obligation Date
	Title V First Obligation Date   Title V First Obligation Date
	Title VI. B Subpart 2 First Obligation Date
4.	Accounting Requirements (see Administrative Manual, p. 12):
	a Obligations and expenditures of state and federal funds are recorded separately by program.
5	Documentation Related to Payment of District Staff (see Administrative Manual, p. 12):
٥.	a The Core Data Program Code and caseloads are correct for FTEs and consistent with the application.
	b There is documentation of time for partial FTEs, including those funded through Administrative Pool.
	Evidence Sources:
	☐ Time and effort logs
	☐ Work schedules ¯
	c For stipends and out-of-contract time paid, including Administrative Pool, the district maintains supporting
	records.
	Evidence Sources:
	Workshop sign-in sheets
	☐ Time and effort logs
	d The district biannually certifies that all full-time staff funded from a single federal funding source or from an
	established funding pool (such as the administrative pool) are conducting activities consistent with the
	purposes of the funding source(s).

	KEY: DC = District Compliant DR = District Resolving	DA = District Assistance NA = Not Applicable to this district
	Evidence Source: ☐ Single Funding Certification form(s) of	completed and on file in the district
6.	purchased with federal dollars. b Capital outlay purchases are consistent	including all required components, accounts for all equipment with the application. sed with federal funds (program name and date of purchase).
	o. 8):  a The activities of all federal programs are of federal funding, whether in whole or in Evidence Sources:  Title I  Title I. C  Title II. A	e School Improvement Plan (CSIP) (see Administrative Manual, reflected in the board-approved CSIP. The district indicates the source part, as applicable, for each relevant action step.  Title III Title IV. A Title V Title VI. B Subpart 2
8.	Reporting Requirements  a All items required by federal legislation a  Evidence Sources:  District Report Card Newspaper articles Newsletters Other: Comments for items indicated DR -	are reported to the public.  - Please include plan and time frame for
	resolution:	

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

## Title I. A: Improving the Academic Achievement of the Disadvantaged

1.	<b>LEA Plan</b> (see <i>Administrative Manual</i> , p. )
	a The district has an approved LEA Plan and implements activities according to the plan.
	b The plan has been revised to indicate changes, as needed.
	Evidence Sources:
	LEA Plan (and any revisions)
	☐ Notes from planning sessions addressing needs assessment and goal setting
2.	Schoolwide Planning (see Administrative Manual, p. 28):
	a Each building with a schoolwide plan implements the activities contained in the plan.
	b Any changes have been amended into the plan.
	c (If applicable) Schoolwide buildings with significant number of students from other language backgrounds have made the plan available to parents of such students in the parents' primary language.
3.	Student Eligibility in Targeted Assistance Programs (see Administrative Manual, pp. 22-23):
	a Student eligibility is determined by multiple, educationally-related, objective criteria. (Criteria in preschool through grade two programs must consist solely of teacher recommendation, parental interviews,
	developmentally appropriate measures, or other similar criteria).
	b Master lists of eligible students are available that indicate which students have been selected to participate, the
	criteria used for selection, weighting of criteria, and appropriate overall cut-off score.  c Migrant or Limited English Proficient (LEP) children have been identified for services on the same basis as
	other children.
4.	Annual Evaluation Process (see Administrative Manual, p. 41):
	The district conducts an annual review of the Title I-funded activities.
	a Appropriate representation of school personnel f Review of program strengths and weaknesses
	b Agenda g Documentation of recommendations and revisions
	c Sign-in sheet from attendees h Buildings have been notified regarding their Adequate
	d Review of student achievement data Yearly Progress (AYP) status
	e Review of parents' evaluations
<b>5.</b>	Coordination (see Administrative Manual, p. 29):
	Written documentation indicates that coordination occurs between Title I staff and classroom staff through:
	a Shared instructional objectives.
	b One or more of the following: joint planning time, shared lesson plans, quarterly objective sheets, individual
	student plans, Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) or Schoolwide Plan.
	<ul> <li>c Evidence to demonstrate coordination among teachers in Migrant Education, LEP, Homeless, and Neglected and Delinquent services.</li> </ul>
6.	Parents Right to Know (see Companion Document, pp. 4-5)
	a Parents of each student attending a school receiving Title I funds have been notified that they may request
	information regarding the professional qualifications of the student's classroom teachers.
	Evidence Sources:
	Letters
	☐ Newsletters
	☐ Handbooks
	b Parents have been notified when their child has been taught four or more consecutive weeks by a teacher who is not highly qualified.

	KEY:	
	DC = District Compliant DR = District Resolving	DA = District Assistance NA = Not Applicable to this district
	·	nt policy, and activities are conducted consistent with the policy. 600,000, one percent of the allocation is budgeted for parent involvement.
8.	<ul> <li>a Strategies for communication</li> <li>b Descriptions of how the parent-school</li> <li>c Strategies for increasing opportunition</li> <li>d Buildings with significant numbers of</li> </ul>	e a plan for parent involvement that includes:  ool compact was developed and its purpose
9.	<ul><li>a The parent involvement plan and parent</li><li>b Parent meetings or workshops are left.</li></ul>	held. its allocation for parent involvement (if applicable, see 10. b).
10.	Personnel (see Administrative Manual, pp. The district provides evidence that: a Proper credentials and certificates ab Caseloads as listed on class rosters c Teachers and paraprofessionals' so students.	are on file with the district.
11.	on the approved Breakdown of Allocation (BC a Current building-level budgets and exidence Source:  Bookkeeping record of related exidence.	ole building receives the allocated amount of funds and in the order indicated DA). expenditures correspond to BOA.
12.	•	Title I are used according to regulations and guidelines.  ds of usage with staff indicate that Title I-funded materials and facilities are
13.	Preschool Education Programs (see A District follows one of the recommer Head Start Education and Early Project Construct Curriculum Model High/Scope Curriculum Model Creative Curriculum Model	nded models for its Title I-funded preschool. Check model being used: Childhood Development Standards

14. Neglected and Delinquent Institutions (see Administrative Manual, pp. 46-50):

	DC = District Compliant DR = District Resolving	DA = District Assistance NA = Not Applicable to this district
		glected or Delinquent funds is on file and describes the program to be nt formal agreements between the district and institutions to be served.
15.	a The district can demonstrate the professional development follows:	rovement (see Administrative Manual, pp. 39-40): nat at least 10 percent of the building's allocation has been allocated for ving a building's failure to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its ement. Funding must address the area(s) in which each building has
	Evidence Sources:  BOA and Title I application Inservice sign-in sheets Records of expenditures b The district has notified parents Evidence Source:	s of their school choice option.
	Letter to parents c The district has notified parents year of improvement).  Evidence Source:  Letter to parents	s of their Supplemental Educational Services options (for buildings in second
	Comments for items indicated resolution:	DR – Please include plan and time frame for

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

# Title I. C: Migrant Education Program

1.	Eligibility (see Administrative Manual, pp. 53-55):  a Priority for services is given to migrant children most at risk of failing to meet the Show-Me Standards and whose education has been interrupted during the regular year.
2.	Coordination (see Administrative Manual, p. 55):  a Coordination occurs with other local, state, and federal program personnel.  Evidence Sources:  Student participation list with migrant students identified  Staff meeting minutes and agendas  One or more of the following: shared instructional objectives, shared lesson plans, individual student plans, joint planning time
3.	Parent Involvement (see Administrative Manual, pp. 60-61): a The district provides documentation of appropriate consultation with a Parent Advisory Council in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of the migrant project. b The district involves migrant parents in policy development, parent-school compacts, and capacity building activities. c The district provides evidence that information is provided in a language and form that parents understand.  Evidence Sources  Meeting minutes  Sign-in sheets from policy, planning, and capacity building meetings  Flyers, announcements, letters to parents in their native language (if appropriate)  Comments for items indicated DR – Please include plan and time frame for resolution:

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

## Title II. A: Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting

l.	Professional Development Needs Assessment (see Administrative Manual, p. 64):
	The district maintains documentation that:
	a A needs assessment for professional development was conducted within the last three years with input from
	public and nonpublic staff, including Title I-funded teachers.
	b Professional development decisions were based on student achievement data.
	c A CSIP/district professional development plan reflects the needs assessment findings.
	Evidence Sources:
	☐ Educator surveys
	☐ Minutes of professional development committee meetings
	Administrator assessments of teacher performance
	☐ Other student data
	☐ Other student data
,	Professional Development Activity Assessment (see Administrative Manual, p. 66):
٠.	
	a The district provides evidence of assessing the activities in relation to the goals identified in the professional
	development plan (see the "Missouri Professional Development Guidelines for Student Success") or CSIP.
	Evidence Sources:
	Review of pre and/or post activity student achievement data
	Description of activity and objectives
	Student learning outcomes
	Planned or demonstrated uses of new knowledge and skills
	☐ Dates of initial and follow-up activities
	Other: Please describe
	Professional Development Activity Implementation (see Administrative Manual, p. 65):  Each activity funded through Title II. A reflects the following high-quality professional development criteria:  a
1.	Hiring Highly Qualified Teachers
	All teachers employed by the district are properly certified for their grade level/subject area.
	a The district has hired additional teachers to reduce class size.
	<ul><li>a The district has hired additional teachers to reduce class size.</li><li>b The district has hired additional teachers for core academic subjects.</li></ul>
	a The district has hired additional teachers to reduce class size.

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

Comments for items indicated DR – Please include plan and time frame for resolution:

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

## Title II. D: Enhancing Education Through Technology

rofessional
rotection Act
ution:

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

#### Title III: Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students

SEE SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE FOR TITLE III (www.dese.state.mo.us/divimprove/fedprog/grantmgmnt/)

1.	Student Identification
	<ul> <li>a Based on its written assessment plan, the district annually assesses the English proficiency of all limited English proficient children participating in this program, or the district has contracted for such services.</li> <li>Evidence Sources:</li> </ul>
	☐ Title III Limited English Proficient Assessment Plan ☐ Student assessments
2.	Activity Implementation
	<ul> <li>a Activities are based on scientific research on how to effectively teach LEP children.</li> <li>Evidence Source:</li> <li>Documentation file of research</li> </ul>
3.	Personnel
	<ul> <li>a Teachers in any Title III language instructional program are fluent in English and any other language used for instruction, and have good written and oral communication skills.</li> <li>Evidence Source:</li> </ul>
	☐ Signed statement from building principal certifying that teacher is fluent in English and any other language used for instruction
4.	Professional Development
	<ul> <li>a Professional development activities are scientifically based and meet other program requirements.</li> <li>Evidence Source:</li> <li>Written summary of inservice activities</li> </ul>
5.	Evaluation
	a Based on its written evaluation plan, the district has completed an evaluation of children that includes the percentage of children who are making progress in attaining English proficiency, have transitioned into classrooms not tailored to LEP children, and are meeting the Show-Me Standards.
6.	Parent Notification
	a The district has notified parents of a limited English proficient child within 30 days after the beginning of a school year (two weeks for a child entering school after the year has started) of the reason their child has beer identified to participate in an LEP program, specifics of the program, and parents' rights.
	bInformation presented to parents is provided in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, in a language that the parent can understand.  Evidence Sources:
	Examples of notification to parents  List of participating students
7.	Parent Involvement
	a The district has implemented an effective means of outreach to parents of limited English proficient children to inform them of how they can be actively involved in the education of their children in learning English, achieving at high levels, and meeting the Show-Me Standards.

	KEY: DC = District Compliant DR = District Resolving	DA = District Assistance NA = Not Applicable to this district
8.	Parent Recommendations  a Administrators, Title I teachers, and L recommendations.  Evidence Sources:  Meeting agendas and minutes of multiple List of attendees	EP teachers meet at least annually to consider and respond to parent neetings
	Comments for items indicated DR -	- Please include plan and time frame for resolution:

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

## Title IV. A: Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities

1	Consu	Itation (see <i>Administrative Manual</i> , p. 69):
	a	The district provides evidence of ongoing consultation with State and local government representatives, representatives of schools to be served (including nonpublic), teachers and other staff, parents, students, community-based organizations, and others with relevant expertise in drug and violence prevention activities (such as medical, mental health, and law enforcement professionals) regarding how best to coordinate activities with other related strategies, programs, and activities being conducted in the community.  Evidence Sources:  Dated agendas  Meeting sign-in sheets indicating group affiliation  Written recommendations (minutes from meetings)
		Dissemination of drug use and violence prevention information through pamphlets, brochures, etc.
2.	Activit	ies Development and Assessment (see Administrative Manual, pp. 69-73):
-•		The district biennially conducts and analyzes the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (SDFSC)
		survey and/or alternative dataThe district and its advisory group annually evaluate the overall effectiveness of the Title IV. A activities as related to the six Principles of Effectiveness from the United States Department of EducationTitle IV. A funded activities are based on drug and violence assessment results and the six Principles of Effectiveness.
		Evidence Sources:
		Survey Results
		☐ Discipline and expulsion data ☐ Advisory Council meeting minutes
3.	Activity	Implementation (see Companion Document, p. 7)
		No more than 40 percent of the district's Title IV. A funds are being used for security personnel, of which no
	b	more than 50 percent is used for other security purposes Purchases relate to allowable uses and follow the guidance of the Principles of Effectiveness. (No purchase of give-away items.)
1.	Parent/	Community Involvement (see Administrative Manual, p. 73)
		The district includes activities to facilitate input from parents and involve parents and community.
		Evidence Sources:  Parent education training/workshops/inservice/classes
		Letters to parents
		Schedules of parent education activities
		☐ Flyers ☐ Sign-in sheets from parent education activities
		☐ Media announcements, articles
		Questionnaires or surveys from parents
5.	Public	Reporting (see Administrative Manual, p. 70):
	a	The district makes available to the public a report of its progress toward attaining the objectives specified in the CSIP.
		Evidence Sources:
		Letters to parents
		☐ Information in school newspaper ☐ Other: Please describe:
		U Other. I leade describe.

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

Comments for items indicated DR – Please include plan and time frame for resolution	ution:

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

# Title V. A: Innovative Programs

1.	Systematic Consultation  a The district has consulted with parents and appropriate district staff in a needs assessment and planning for effective use of funds.  Evidence Sources:  Agenda for meeting  Meeting sign-in sheets indicating person's role or title  Written recommendations (in meeting minutes)
2.	Annual Evaluation (see Administrative Manual, p. 77):  a The district conducts an annual review that includes use of funds, students served, program strengths and weaknesses, and how student academic achievement was affected. The evaluation is used to make decisions about changes for use of funds for the next school year.  Evidence Sources:  Agenda, meeting minutes  Usage records  Survey or other documentation
	Comments for items indicated DR – Please include plan and time frame for resolution:

DA = District Assistance
NA = Not Applicable to this district

## Title VI. Part B: Rural Low Income

Comments for items indicated DR – Please include plan and time frame for resolution		<ul> <li>mentation (see Companion Document, p. 9)</li> <li>Use of funds is consistent with program guidelines, application budget, and CSIP to increase student achievement, reduce dropout rate, or attain other school improvement goals.</li> </ul>	t
	Cor	mments for items indicated DR – Please include plan and time frame for resolut	io